

### 3.1. Banach and Hilbert spaces (Continued)

See Text by Keener, §2.1. pp.59–65.

Let  $S$  be a collection of objects:  $S = \{ \text{members } f, g, h, \dots \}$ . Suppose  $S$  has two operations, one is called addition  $f + g$  and the other is called a scalar multiplication  $\alpha f$ , where  $\alpha$  is a real number, so that  $S$  is a vector space. Let  $S$  has a norm  $\| \cdot \|$ .

**Definition 3.1 (Limit).** A sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in a normed vector space  $S$  is said to have a limit  $f$  in  $S$  (or to converge to  $f$  in  $S$ ), denoted

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = f,$$

if there is such an  $f$  in  $S$  and for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an integer  $N$  (depends on  $\epsilon$ ) such that

$$\|f_n - f\| < \epsilon$$

for all  $n > N$ .

A concept of closeness that does not require knowledge of the limiting member is as follows

**Definition 3.2 (Cauchy sequence).** A sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in a normed vector space  $S$  is called a *Cauchy sequence* if for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an integer  $N$  (depends on  $\epsilon$ ) such that

$$\|f_n - f_m\| < \epsilon$$

for all  $n > N, m > N$ .

Property: Every convergent sequence is a Cauchy sequence. This can be proved easily, which we do not have time to do, but the main step is

$$\|f_n - f_m\| = \|f_n - f + f - f_m\| \leq \|f_n - f\| + \|f - f_m\|.$$

Remark: Not every Cauchy sequence converges to a limit in a normed vector space.

**Definition 3.3 (Completeness).** A normed vector space  $S$  is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence converges to a limit in  $S$ .

**Definition 3.4 (Banach space).** A complete normed vector space is called a Banach space.

**Theorem.** The space  $C[a, b]$  of all continuous functions on  $[a, b]$  with the sup-norm is a Banach space.

We do not prove the theorem here.

Another example is that the set of all real numbers, denoted  $\mathbb{R}$ , with the usual addition and scalar multiplication and the absolute value as the norm is a Banach space.

But we do wonder: If  $S$  is complete with respect to one norm, is it complete with respect to other norms?

First why do we care about multiple norms? Let us look at an example. Let  $v(y) = \sin y$ ,  $0 < y < \pi$ . It is a continuous function. We can calculate its maximum norm to be 1. We can find its  $L^1$  norm:

$$\|v\|_{L^1} = \int_0^\pi |v(y)| dy = 2.$$

We can find its  $L^2$  norm squared:

$$\|v\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_0^\pi |v(y)|^2 dy.$$

So what do they mean? First if we think  $v$  as velocity and  $y$  as time, then the maximum norm is the maximum velocity and the police might want to use it for speeding ticket. The  $L^1$  norm is the total distance traveled, which a rental company might want to know. Second if we think  $v$  as velocity again but regard  $y$  as space variable  $x$  of a uniform materail bar with mass density  $m$ , then the kinetic energy is

$$\frac{1}{2}m \int_0^\pi v(x)^2 dx.$$

Thus different norms of the same mathematical function may all have significance.

Let us look at the set of all continuous functions  $C$ . We wonder whether the set  $C$  is complete under the  $L^2$  norm.

It turns out that it is not complete under the  $L^2$  norm. For the  $L^2$  norm, there are many holes between any pair of continuous functions. We collection all the “hole” functions, as we collection  $\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{5}$ , etc., to form a larger space, which is called the  $L^2[a, b]$  space.

**Definition 3.5.** The collection of all possible functions that are limits of continuous functions on  $[a, b]$  in the  $L^2$  norm is called the space  $L^2[a, b]$ .

It turns out that  $L^2[a, b]$  is complete with respect to its norm  $L^2$ . It is a Banach space. By the next definition, it is also a Hilbert space.

**Definition 3.6 (Hilbert space).** A Banach space whose norm is induced by an inner product is called a Hilbert space.

The ordinary Euclidean space  $R^n$  with the square-root norm is a Hilbert space.

The space of all possible functions that are limits of continuous functions on  $[a, b]$  in the  $L^p$  norm ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ):

$$\|f\|_{L^p} = \left( \int_a^b |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p}$$

is called the space  $L^p[a, b]$ . It is complete and is a Banach space. Except for  $p = 2$ , none of the other  $L^p[a, b]$  spaces is a Hilbert space.

**Example.** The function

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{|x|^{1/3}} \in L^2[-1, 1]$$

which is not only discontinuous, but also unbounded.

To get to know these  $L^p$  spaces well, one needs to take the course “Real Analysis.”

**“Holes” between continuous functions.** Let us consider a sequence of continuous functions:

$$f_n(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq t < \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n}, \\ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{n}{2}(t - \frac{1}{2}), & \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n} \\ 1, & \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n} < t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

From its graph (see text book) it is clear that it “converges” to a function

$$f_0(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq t < \frac{1}{2}, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & t = \frac{1}{2} \\ 1, & \frac{1}{2} < t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

We show this convergence is not in the sup-norm:

$$\|f_n - f_0\| = \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |f_n(t) - f_0(t)| = 1/2,$$

which does not converge to zero. However, we can show that it converges to  $f_0$  in the  $L^2$  norm as follows. We first have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_n - f_0\|_{L^2} &= \left( \int_0^1 (f_n(t) - f_0(t))^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left( \int_{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n}}^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n}} (f_n(t) - f_0(t))^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where we have shortened the interval of integration since the difference between  $f_n(t)$  and  $f_0(t)$  is zero outside the middle interval. Note that the middle portion shrinks in length to zero while the integrand is no larger than 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_n - f_0\|_{L^2} &\leq \left( \int_{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n}}^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n}} (1)^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left( \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n} \right) - \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left( \frac{2}{n} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

which goes to zero. This shows that  $f_0$  is a limit in the  $L^2$  norm. But  $f_0$  does not belong to  $C$ . This is rather like the limit  $\sqrt{2}$  of rational numbers. When we collect all possible functions that are limits of continuous functions on  $[a, b]$  in the  $L^2$  norm, we get a larger class of functions than  $C[a, b]$ .

====End of Lecture 20, Oct 18=====