

A direct proof of implication's transitivity comes from working with the full proposition simultaneous, and showing it reduces to True.

<i>Proof.</i>	$((p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$	given	□
	$\neg((\neg p \vee q) \wedge (\neg q \vee r)) \vee (\neg p \vee r)$	definition of implication	
	$(\neg(\neg p \vee q) \vee \neg(\neg q \vee r)) \vee (\neg p \vee r)$	DeMorgan's Laws	
	$((\neg\neg p \wedge \neg q) \vee (\neg\neg q \wedge \neg r)) \vee (\neg p \vee r)$	DeMorgan's Laws	
	$((p \wedge \neg q) \vee (q \wedge \neg r)) \vee (\neg p \vee r)$	Double negative	
	$((p \wedge \neg q) \vee \neg p) \vee ((q \wedge \neg r) \vee r)$	Associativity and Commutativity	
	$((p \vee \neg p) \wedge (\neg q \vee \neg p)) \vee ((q \vee r) \wedge (\neg r \vee r))$	Distributive	
	$(T \wedge (\neg q \vee \neg p)) \vee ((q \vee r) \wedge T)$	Excluded Middle	
	$(\neg q \vee \neg p) \vee (q \vee r)$	Absorption	
	$\neg q \vee q \vee \neg p \vee r$	Associativity and Commutativity	
	$T \vee \neg p \vee r$	Excluded Middle	
	T	Absorption	