

Math 504 Spring Term 2009, Solutions 1

1. §1.1. Exercise 2. Prove that if $f_1, f_2 \dots$ are real continuous functions of \mathbb{R} and if for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $f(x) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$ exists, then $\{x : 0 \leq f(x) < 1\}$ is measurable. Hint: Prove that $\{x : f(x) < 1\} = \bigcup_{k \geq 1} \bigcup_{m \geq 1} \bigcap_{n \geq m} \{x : f_n(x) \leq 1 - 1/k\}$.

I made some corrections above. Let $\mathcal{A}_\lambda = \{x : f(x) < \lambda\}$, $\mathcal{B}_\lambda = \bigcup_{k \geq 1} \bigcup_{m \geq 1} \bigcap_{n \geq m} \{x : f_n(x) \leq \lambda - 1/k\}$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. As the f_n are continuous, \mathcal{B}_λ is a countable union of a countable intersection of a countable union of intervals so is measurable. Thus it suffices to show that $\mathcal{B}_\lambda = \mathcal{A}_\lambda$ because $\{x : 0 \leq f(x) < 1\} = \mathcal{A}_1 \setminus \mathcal{A}_0$. Let $x \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda$. Then $f(x) < \lambda$. Choose k so that $f(x) < \lambda - 2/k$. Then there is an $m = m(x, k)$ so that whenever $n \geq m$ we have $f_n(x) < \lambda - 1/k$ and so $x \in \mathcal{B}_\lambda$. Now suppose that $x \in \mathcal{B}_\lambda$. Then there are k, m such that $f_n(x) \leq \lambda - 1/k$ whenever $n \geq m$. By the existence of $f(x)$ there is an $n_0 = n_0(x, k)$ such that whenever $n > n_0$ we have $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < 1/k$. Thus $f(x) < \lambda$ and so $x \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda$.

2. §1.2. Exercise 2. Check for fixed β that the inner product (α, β) is continuous in α .

Here we are supposing that the α, β are members of $L^2(Q)$. Thus continuity is the statement that if ϕ is some functional on the space, then ϕ is continuous on the space provided that $\phi(\alpha) \rightarrow \phi(\alpha_0)$ as $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_0$. Moreover the measure of "size" here will be $\|\cdot\|_2$. Now by Schwarz's inequality, $|\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle - \langle \alpha_0, \beta \rangle| = |\langle \alpha - \alpha_0, \beta \rangle| \leq \|\alpha - \alpha_0\|_2 \|\beta\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ as $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_0$.

3. §1.3. Exercise 9. For $x \in [0, 1]$ define e_n^k by $e_0^k(x) = 1$ and, when $n \geq 0, 1 \leq k \leq 2^n$,

$$e_n^k(x) = \begin{cases} 2^{n/2} & \text{when } k-1 \leq 2^n x < k-0.5, \\ -2^{n/2} & \text{when } k-0.5 \leq 2^n x < k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Prove that the e_n^k form a unit-perpendicular basis for $L^2[0, 1]$. Hint: One route to showing that they span is first to show that if f is perpendicular to them all, then $\int_0^x f = 0$ for all x of the form $k2^{-n}$, and deduce that $\int_{\mathcal{B}} f = 0$ for every measurable $\mathcal{B} \subset [0, 1]$.

It is easily verified that $\|e_n^k\| = 1$ and $(e_m^j, e_n^k) = 0$ when $j, m \neq k, n$. Thus it suffices to show they span. To prove the first part of the hint, observe that if $x = k2^{-n}$, $I = [0, x)$ and c_I is the characteristic function of I , then $\int_0^x f = (f, c_I)$ and it would follow that this is 0 if c_I is a linear combination of the e_n^k . This is an easy proof by induction on n . Obviously if $x = 1$ we have $c_I = e_0^0$ and if $x = 0$, then $c_I = 0$. Now suppose that $n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq k \leq 2^n$. If k is even, then $k2^{-n} = (k/2)2^{-(n-1)}$ and we can appeal to the inductive hypothesis. If k is odd, let $k' = (k+1)/2$, $I_+ = [0, k'2^{-(n-1)})$, $I_- = [0, (k'-1)2^{-(n-1)})$. Then $c_I = \frac{1}{2}(c_{I_-} + c_{I_+} - 2^{-(n-1)/2}e_{n-1}^{k'})$. Now we have $\int_J f = 0$ whenever J is a subinterval of $[0, 1]$ with endpoints of the form $k2^{-n}$, Moreover given any measurable subset \mathcal{B} of $[0, 1]$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a countable cover of \mathcal{B} by intervals of the form J such that their union U satisfies $\text{meas}(\mathcal{B} \setminus U) < \varepsilon$. From above $\int_U f = 0$ and by Schwarz $|\int_{\mathcal{B} \setminus U} f| \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon} \|f\|_2$. It follows that $f = 0$ for almost all $x \in [0, 1]$

4. §1.3. Exercise 14. Show that the family $\{f_n\}$ spans $L^2(Q)$ iff $(f, f_n) = 0$ for every n implies $f \equiv 0$. Hint: What is the annihilator of the family?

(i) Suppose the f_n span $L^2(Q)$. Let f be such that $(f, f_n) = 0$ for every n . Choose c_n so that $\|f - \sum c_n f_n\| = 0$. Then squaring out $0 = \|f\|^2 - 2\Re \sum c_n (f, f_n) + \|\sum c_n f_n\|^2 = \|f\|^2 + \|\sum c_n f_n\|^2$. Hence $\|f\|^2 = 0$. (ii) Suppose that the f_n do not span $L^2(Q)$. We can certainly suppose that the f_n are independent, perpendicular and unimodular since we can still carry out the Gram-Schmidt diagonalization process and everything which is close to the original family will be close to the pruned one. Since the family does not span there will be an f such that $\|f - \sum d_n f_n\| > 0$ for every d_n . Let $d_n = (f, f_n)$ and $g = f - \sum d_n f_n$. Then $(g, f_n) = (f, f_n) - \sum d_n (f_n, f_n) = d_n - d_n \|f_n\|^2 = 0$ but $\|g\| > 0$.