

## Lecture 8 The Homotopy Form of Cauchy's Theorem

**(8.1) DEFINITION:** Let  $\Omega$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  and let  $\gamma_0, \gamma_1: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be paths which have the same endpoints (i.e.  $\gamma_0(0) = \gamma_1(0) = a$  say, and  $\gamma_0(1) = \gamma_1(1) = b$  say). The paths are homotopic in  $\Omega$  if there is a continuous map  $\Gamma: [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with

$$\gamma_0(t) = \Gamma(0, t), \quad \gamma_1(t) = \Gamma(1, t), \quad a = \Gamma(s, 0), \quad b = \Gamma(s, 1),$$

for all  $s, t, 0 \leq s, t \leq 1$ .

The idea of this definition is that  $\gamma_0$  can be continuously deformed within  $\Omega$  into  $\gamma_1$ , keeping its endpoints fixed. Homotopy is an equivalence relation on the class of paths with given fixed endpoints.

**(8.2) THEOREM:** Let  $\Omega$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  and let  $f$  be holomorphic in  $\Omega$ . Let  $\gamma_0$  and  $\gamma_1$  be homotopic in  $\Omega$ . Then

$$\int_{\gamma_0} f(z) dz = \int_{\gamma_1} f(z) dz.$$

In particular if  $\gamma$  is closed and nullhomotopic (homotopic to a constant path), then  $\oint_{\gamma} f(z) dz = 0$ .

**PROOF:** The idea is to apply Cauchy's theorem for convex regions repeatedly.

For each  $p \in \Omega$  there is a disk  $D_p$  (convex!) centered at  $p$  and contained in  $\Omega$  (because  $\Omega$  is open). The inverse images  $\Gamma^{-1}(D_p)$  are open subsets of  $I^2 = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ , and they form a cover of  $I^2$ . Since  $I^2$  is a compact metric space, Lebesgue's Covering Lemma gives us a number  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that any subset of  $I^2$  having diameter  $< \varepsilon$  lies within some  $\Gamma^{-1}(D_p)$ .

Choose an integer  $n > 2/\varepsilon$ . Define, for  $0 \leq j, k \leq n$ ,

$$z_{j,k} = \Gamma(j/n, k/n).$$

By construction, for any  $j, k$  the four points  $z_{j,k}, z_{j+1,k}, z_{j+1,k+1}, z_{j,k+1}$  lie in a convex subset of  $\Omega$ . Hence by Cauchy's theorem for convex regions

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[z_{j,k}, z_{j+1,k}]} f(z) dz + \int_{[z_{j+1,k}, z_{j+1,k+1}]} f(z) dz \\ - \int_{[z_{j,k+1}, z_{j+1,k+1}]} f(z) dz - \int_{[z_{j,k}, z_{j,k+1}]} f(z) dz = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Sum these equations over all  $j, k$  between 0 and  $n - 1$ . The integrals over interior edges cancel. The integrals over top and bottom edges (in the usual picture of  $I^2$ ) vanish because these are along constant paths. We are left therefore with integrals along the left and right hand edges of  $I^2$ . But these sum to  $\int_{\gamma_0} f(z)dz$  and  $\int_{\gamma_1} f(z)dz$  respectively. We conclude that

$$\int_{\gamma_0} f(z)dz - \int_{\gamma_1} f(z)dz = 0$$

as asserted. ■

Here is an application. Let  $D$  be a disk and suppose that  $f$  is holomorphic on an open set  $\Omega$  which contains the closure of  $D$ . Then for any point  $a$  in the interior of  $D$ ,

$$f(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\partial D} \frac{f(z)}{z - a} dz.$$

This is *Cauchy's integral formula*.

PROOF: We shall show that the absolute value of the difference between the two sides of the equation can be made less than any prescribed  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Let  $\varepsilon$  be given. Because  $f$  is continuous at  $a$  there is  $\delta$  such that  $|f(z) - f(a)| < \varepsilon$  whenever  $|z - a| \leq \delta$ .

Let  $\gamma$  be a circular contour around  $a$  of radius  $\delta$ . Then  $\gamma$  is homotopic, in  $\Omega \setminus \{a\}$ , to  $\partial D$ , and so

$$\oint_{\partial D} \frac{f(z)}{z - a} dz = \oint_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)}{z - a} dz$$

by Theorem 8.2 applied to the function  $z \mapsto f(z)/(z - a)$ , which is holomorphic in  $\Omega \setminus \{a\}$ .

But now

$$f(a) - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)}{z - a} dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma} \frac{f(z) - f(a)}{z - a} dz.$$

Thus by the standard estimate

$$\left| f(a) - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)}{z - a} dz \right| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot 2\pi\delta \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta} = \varepsilon.$$

We have shown that the difference between the two sides of Cauchy's integral formula is less than the arbitrarily prescribed  $\varepsilon$ . This is what was required. ■