

# Alexandrov meets Lott–Villani–Sturm

Anton Petrunin

June 4, 2009

## Abstract

Here I show compatibility of two definition of generalized curvature bounds — the lower bound for sectional curvature in the sense of Alexandrov and lower bound for Ricci curvature in the sense of Lott–Villani–Sturm.

## Introduction

Let me denote by  $\text{CD}[m, \kappa]$  the class metric-measure spaces which satisfy a weak curvature-dimension condition for dimension  $m$  and curvature  $\kappa$  (see preliminaries). By  $\text{Alex}^m[\kappa]$ , I will denote the class of all  $m$ -dimensional Alexandrov spaces with curvature  $\geq \kappa$  equipped with the volume-measure (so  $\text{Alex}^m[\kappa]$  is a class of metric-measure spaces).

**Main theorem.**  $\text{Alex}^m[0] \subset \text{CD}[m, 0]$ .

The question appears first in [Lott–Villani, 7.48]. In [Villani], it is formulated more generally:  $\text{Alex}^m[\kappa] \subset \text{CD}[m, (m-1)\kappa]$ . The later statement can be proved, along the same lines, but I do not write it down.

**About the proof.** The idea of the proof is the same as in Riemannian case (see [CMS, 6.2] or [Lott–Villani, 7.3]). One only needs to extend certain calculus to Alexandrov spaces. To do this, I used the same technique as in [Petrunin 03]. I will illustrate the idea on a very simple problem.

Let  $M$  be a 2-dimensional non-negatively curved Riemannian manifold and  $\gamma_\tau: [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  be a continuous family of unit-speed geodesics such that

$$|\gamma_{\tau_0}(t_0)\gamma_{\tau_1}(t_1)| \geq |t_1 - t_0|. \quad \bullet$$

Set  $\ell(t)$  to be the total length of curve  $\sigma_t: \tau \mapsto \gamma_\tau(t)$ . Then  $\ell(t)$  is a concave function — that is easy to prove.

Now, assume you have  $A \in \text{Alex}^2[0]$  instead of  $M$  and a non-continuous family of unit-speed geodesics  $\gamma_\tau(t)$  which satisfies  $\bullet$ . Define  $\ell(t)$  as the 1-dimensional Hausdorff measure of image of  $\sigma_t$ . In this case  $\ell$  is also concave.

Here is an idea how one can proceed; it is not the simplest one but the one which admits proper generalization. Consider two functions  $\psi = \text{dist}_{\text{Im } \sigma_0}$  and  $\varphi = \text{dist}_{\text{Im } \sigma_1}$ . Note that geodesics  $\gamma_\tau(t)$  are also gradient curves of  $\psi$  and  $\varphi$ . It implies that  $\Delta\varphi + \Delta\psi$  vanish almost everywhere on the image of the map  $(\tau, t) \rightarrow \gamma_\tau(t)$  (the Laplacians  $\Delta\varphi$  and  $\Delta\psi$  are Radon sign-measure). Then

result follows from the second variation formula from [Petrunin 98] and calculus on Alexandrov spaces developed in [Perelman].

**Remark.** My original motivation to do this problem was a misunderstanding — I thought that CD-spaces are analogous to Alexandrov spaces. But although  $\text{CD}[m, \kappa]$  is a very natural class of metric-measure spaces, some basic tools in Ricci comparison can not work there in principle. For instance, *there are  $\text{CD}[m, 0]$ -spaces which do not satisfy the Abresch–Gromoll inequality*, (see [AG]). Thus, in order to be suitable for substantial applications in Riemannian geometry, one has to modify the definition of the class  $\text{CD}[m, \kappa]$ .

I'm grateful to A. Lytchak and C. Villani, for their help.

## 1 Preliminaries

**Prerequisite.** The reader is supposed to be familiar with basic definition and notion in optimal transport as in [Villani], measure theory in Alexandrov spaces from [BGP], DC-structure on Alexandrov's spaces from [Perelman] and technique and notation of gradient flow as in [Petrunin 07].

**What needs to be proved.** Let me recall the definition of class  $\text{CD}[m, 0]$  only — it is sufficient for understanding this paper. The definition of  $\text{CD}[m, \kappa]$  can be found in [Villani, 29.8].

Similar definitions were given in [Lott–Villani] and [Sturm]. The idea behind these definitions — convexity of certain functionals in the Wasserstein space over a Riemannian manifold, appears in [Otto–Villani], [CMS], [Sturm–v.Rennesse]. In the Euclidean context, this notion of convexity goes back to [McCann]. More on the history of the subject can be found in [Villani].

For a metric-measure space  $X$ , I will denote by  $|xy|$  the distance between points  $x, y \in X$  and by  $\text{vol} E$  the distinguished measure of Borel subset  $E \subset X$  (I will call it *volume*). Let us denote by  $\text{P}_2 X$  the set of all probability measures with compact support in  $X$  equipped with Wasserstein distance of order 2, see [Villani, 6.1].

Further, we assume  $X$  is proper geodesic space; in this case  $\text{P}_2 X$  is geodesic.

Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $X$ . Denote by  $\mu^r$  the absolutely continuous part of  $\mu$  with respect to volume. I.e.  $\mu^r$  coincides with  $\mu$  outside a Borel subset of volume zero and there is a Borel function  $\rho: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\mu^r = \rho \cdot \text{vol}$ . Define

$$U_m \mu \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_X \rho^{1-\frac{1}{m}} d\text{vol} = \int_X \frac{1}{\sqrt[m]{\rho}} d\mu^r.$$

Then  $X \in \text{CD}[m, 0]$  if the functional  $U_m$  is concave in  $\text{P}_2 X$ ; i.e. for any two measures  $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in \text{P}_2 X$ , there is a geodesic path  $\mu_t$ , in  $\text{P}_2 X$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$  such that the real function  $t \mapsto U_m \mu_t$  is concave.

**Calculus in Alexandrov spaces.** Let  $A \in \text{Alex}^m[\kappa]$  and  $S \subset A$  be the subset of singular points; i.e.  $x \in S$  if its tangent space  $T_x$  is not isometric to Euclidean  $m$ -space  $\mathbb{E}^m$ . The set  $S$  has zero volume ([BGP, 10.6]). The set of regular points  $A \setminus S$  is convex ([Petrunin 98]); i.e. any geodesic connecting two regular points consists only of regular points.

According to [Perelman], if  $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a semiconcave function and  $\Omega \subset A$  is an image of a  $\text{DC}_0$ -chart, then  $\partial_k f$  and components of metric tensor  $g^{ij}$  are functions of locally bounded variation which are continuous in  $\Omega \setminus S$ .

Further, for almost all  $x \in A$  the the Hession of  $f$  is well defined. I.e. there is a subset of full measure  $\text{Reg } f \subset A \setminus S$  such that for any  $p \in \text{Reg } f$  there is a bi-linear form<sup>1</sup>  $\text{Hess}_p f$  on  $\mathbb{T}_p$  such that

$$f(q) = f(p) + d_p f(v) + \text{Hess}_p f(v, v) + o(|v|^2),$$

where  $v = \log_p q$ . Moreover, the Hessian can be found using standard calculus in the  $\text{DC}_0$ -chart. In particular,

$$\text{Trace Hess } f \stackrel{\text{a.e.}}{=} \frac{\partial_i (\det g \cdot g^{ij} \cdot \partial_j f)}{\det g}$$

The following is an extract from second variation formula [Petrunin 98, 1.1B] reformulated with formalism of ultrafilters. Let  $\omega$  be a nonprinciple ultrafilter,  $A \in \text{Alex}^m[0]$  and  $[pq]$  be a minimizing geodesic in  $A$  which is extendable beyond  $p$  and  $q$ . Assume further that one of (and therefore each) of points  $p$  and  $q$  is regular. Then there is a model configuration  $\tilde{p}, \tilde{q} \in \mathbb{E}^m$  and isometries  $\iota_p: \mathbb{T}_p A \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\tilde{p}} \mathbb{E}^m$ ,  $\iota_q: \mathbb{T}_q A \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\tilde{q}} \mathbb{E}^m$  such that

$$\left| \exp_p\left(\frac{1}{n} \cdot v\right) \exp_q\left(\frac{1}{n} \cdot w\right) \right| \leq \left| \exp_{\tilde{p}} \circ \iota_p\left(\frac{1}{n} \cdot v\right) \exp_{\tilde{q}} \circ \iota_q\left(\frac{1}{n} \cdot w\right) \right| + o(n^2)$$

for  $\omega$ -almost all  $n$  (once the left-hand side is well defined).

If  $\tilde{\tau}: \mathbb{T}_{\tilde{p}} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\tilde{q}}$  is the parallel translation in  $\mathbb{E}^m$ , then the isometry  $\tau: \mathbb{T}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_q$  which satisfy identity  $\iota_q \circ \tau = \tilde{\tau} \circ \iota_p$  will be called “parallel transportation” from  $p$  to  $q$ .

**Laplacian of semiconcave function.** Here are some facts from [Petrunin 03].

Given a function  $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , define its *Laplacian*  $\Delta f$  to be a Radon sign-measure which satisfies the following identity

$$\int_A u d\Delta f = - \int_A \langle \nabla u, \nabla f \rangle d \text{vol}$$

for any Lipschitz function  $u: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

**1.1. Claim.** *Let  $A \in \text{Alex}^m[\kappa]$  and  $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be  $\lambda$ -concave Lipschitz function. Then Laplacian  $\Delta f$  is well defined and*

$$\Delta f \leq m\lambda \cdot \text{vol}.$$

*In particular,  $\Delta^s f$  — the singular part  $\Delta f$  is negative.*

*Moreover,*

$$\Delta f = \text{Trace Hess } f \cdot \text{vol} + \Delta^s f.$$

*Proof.* Let us denote by  $F_t: A \rightarrow A$  the  $f$ -gradient flow for time  $t$ .

Given a Lipschitz function  $u: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , consider family  $u_t(x) = u \circ F_t(x)$ . Clearly,  $u_0 \equiv u$  and  $u_t$  is Lipschitz for any  $t \geq 0$ . Further, for any  $x \in A$  we have  $\left| \frac{d^+}{dt} u_t(x) \Big|_{t=0} \right| \leq \text{Const}$ . Moreover

$$\frac{d^+}{dt} u_t(x) \Big|_{t=0} \stackrel{\text{a.e.}}{=} d_x u(\nabla_x f) \stackrel{\text{a.e.}}{=} \langle \nabla_x u, \nabla_x f \rangle.$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Note that  $p \in A \setminus S$ , thus  $\mathbb{T}_p$  is isometric to Euclidean  $m$ -space.

Further,

$$\int_A u_t d \text{vol} = \int_A u d(F_t \# \text{vol}),$$

where  $\#$  stands for push-forward. Since  $|F_t(x)F_t(y)| \leq e^{\lambda t}|xy|$ , for any  $x, y \in A$  we have

$$F_t \# \text{vol} \geq \exp(-m\lambda t) \cdot \text{vol}.$$

Therefore, for any non-negative Lipschitz function  $u: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\int_A u_t d \text{vol} = \int_A u d(F_t \# \text{vol}) \geq \exp(-m\lambda t) \int_A u d \text{vol}.$$

Therefore

$$\int_A \langle \nabla u, \nabla f \rangle d \text{vol} = \left. \frac{d^+}{dt} \int_A u_t d \text{vol} \right|_{t=0} \geq -m\lambda \int_A u d \text{vol}.$$

I.e. there is a Radon measure  $\chi$  on  $A$ , such that

$$\int_A u d\chi = \int_A [\langle \nabla u, \nabla f \rangle + m\lambda u] d \text{vol}$$

Set  $\Delta f = -\chi + m\lambda$ , it is a Radon sign-measure and  $\chi = -\Delta f + m\lambda \geq 0$ .

To prove the second part of theorem, assume  $u$  is a non-negative Lipschitz function with support in a  $\text{DC}_0$ -chart  $U \rightarrow A$ , where  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  is an open subset. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A \langle \nabla u, \nabla f \rangle &= \int_U \det g \cdot g^{ij} \cdot \partial_i u \cdot \partial_j f \cdot dx^1 dx^2 \dots dx^m = \\ &= - \int_U u \cdot \partial_i (\det g \cdot g^{ij} \cdot \partial_j f) dx^1 dx^2 \dots dx^m, \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\Delta f = \partial_i (\det g \cdot g^{ij} \cdot \partial_j f) dx^1 dx^2 \dots dx^m \stackrel{\text{a.e.}}{=} \text{Trace Hess } f. \quad \square$$

**Gradient curves.** Here I extend notion of gradient curves to a family of functions, see [Petrunin 07] for all necessary definitions.

Let  $\mathbb{I}$  be an open real interval and  $\lambda: \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function. A one parameter family of functions  $f_t: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{I}$  will be called  $\lambda(t)$ -concave if the function  $(t, x) \mapsto f_t(x)$  is locally Lipschitz and  $f_t$  is  $\lambda(t)$ -concave for each  $t \in \mathbb{I}$ .

We will write  $\alpha^\pm(t) = \nabla f_t$  if for any  $t \in \mathbb{I}$ , the right/left tangent vector  $\alpha^\pm(t)$  is well defined and  $\alpha^\pm(t) = \nabla_{\alpha(t)} f_t$ . The solutions of  $\alpha^+(t) = \nabla f_t$  will be also called  $f_t$ -gradient curves.

The following is a slight generalization of [Petrunin 07, 2.1.2&2.2(2)]; it can be proved along the same lines.

**1.2. Proposition-definition.** *Let  $A \in \text{Alex}^m[\kappa]$ ,  $\mathbb{I}$  be an open real interval,  $\lambda: \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function and  $f_t: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{I}$  be  $\lambda(t)$ -concave family.*

*Then for any  $x \in A$  and  $t_0 \in \mathbb{I}$  there is an  $f_t$ -gradient curve  $\alpha$  which is defined in a neighborhood of  $t_0$  and such that  $\alpha(t_0) = x$ .*

More over, if  $\alpha, \beta: \mathbb{I} \rightarrow A$   $f_t$ -gradient then for any  $t_0, t_1 \in \mathbb{I}$ ,  $t_0 \leq t_1$ ,

$$|\alpha(t_1)\beta(t_1)| \leq L|\alpha(t_0)\beta(t_0)|,$$

where  $L = \exp\left(\int_{t_0}^{t_1} \lambda(t) dt\right)$ .

Note that above proposition implies that the value  $\alpha(t_0)$  of  $f_t$ -gradient curve  $\alpha(t)$  uniquely determines it for all  $t \geq t_0$  in  $\mathbb{I}$ . Thus we can define  $f_t$ -gradient flow — a family of maps  $F_{t_0, t_1}: A \rightarrow A$  such that

$$F_{t_0, t_1}(\alpha(t_0)) = \alpha(t_1) \quad \text{if} \quad \alpha^+(t) = \nabla f_t.$$

**1.3. Claim.** Let  $f_t: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $\lambda(t)$ -concave family and  $F_{t_0, t_1}$  be  $f_t$ -gradient flow. Let  $E \subset A$  be a bounded Borel set, fix  $t_1$  and consider function  $v(t) = \text{vol } F_{t, t_1}^{-1}(E)$ . Then

$$v|_t^{t_1} = \int_t^{t_1} \Delta f_t[F_{t, t_1}^{-1}(E)] dt$$

*Proof.* Let  $u: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a Lipschitz function with compact support. Set  $u_t = u \circ F_{t, t_1}$ . Clearly all  $(x, t) \mapsto u_t(x)$  is locally Lipschitz. Thus, the function

$$w_u: t \mapsto \int_A u_t d \text{vol}$$

is locally Lipschitz. Further

$$w'_u(t) \stackrel{a.e.}{=} - \int_A \langle \nabla u_t, \nabla f_t \rangle d \text{vol} = \int_A u_t d \Delta f_t.$$

Therefore

$$w_u|_t^{t_1} = \int_t^{t_1} dt \int_A u_t d \Delta f_t.$$

The last formula extends to arbitrary Borel function  $u: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with bounded support. Applying it to characteristic function of  $E$  we get the result.  $\square$

## 2 Games with Hamilton–Jacobi shifts.

Let  $A \in \text{Alex}^m[0]$ . For a function  $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ , let us define its Hamilton–Jacobi shift<sup>2</sup>  $\mathcal{H}_t f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for time  $t > 0$ ,

$$\mathcal{H}_t f(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \inf_{y \in A} \left\{ f(y) + \frac{1}{2t} |xy|^2 \right\}.$$

We say that  $\mathcal{H}_t f$  is well defined if the above infimum is  $> -\infty$  everywhere in  $A$ . Clearly,

$$\mathcal{H}_{t_0+t_1} f = \mathcal{H}_{t_1} \mathcal{H}_{t_0} f, \quad \textcircled{2}$$

<sup>2</sup>There is a lot of similarity between Hamilton–Jacobi shift of function and equidistant for hypersurface.

for any  $t_0, t_1 > 0$ .

Note that for  $t > 0$ ,  $f_t = \mathcal{H}_t f$  forms a  $\frac{1}{t}$ -concave family, thus, we can apply 1.2 and 1.3. The next theorem gives a more delicate property of the gradient flow, for such families; it is an analog of [Petrinin 07, 3.3.6].

**2.1. Claim.** *Let  $A \in \text{Alex}^m[0]$ ,  $f_0: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be function and  $f_t = \mathcal{H}_t f_0$  is well defined for  $t \in (0, 1)$ . Assume  $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow A$  is a geodesic path which is an  $f_t$ -gradient in  $(0, 1)$  and  $\alpha: (0, 1) \rightarrow A$  is an other  $f_t$ -gradient curve. Then if for some  $t_0 \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\alpha(t_0) = \gamma(t_0)$  then  $\alpha(t) = \gamma(t)$  for all  $t \in (0, 1)$ .*

*Proof.* Note that function  $\ell = \ell(t) = |\alpha(t)\gamma(t)|$  is locally Lipschitz in  $(0, 1)$ . According to 1.2, it is sufficient to show that

$$\ell' \geq -\left[\frac{1}{t} + \frac{2}{1-t}\right] \cdot \ell$$

for almost all  $t$ .

Since  $\alpha$  is locally Lipschitz, for almost all  $t$ ,  $\alpha^+(t)$  and  $\alpha^-(t)$  are well defined and *opposite*<sup>3</sup> to each other.

Fix such  $t$  and set  $x = \gamma(0)$ ,  $z = \gamma(t)$ ,  $y = \gamma(1)$ ,  $p = \alpha(t)$ , so  $\ell(t) = |pz|$ . Note that function

$$f_t + \frac{1}{2(1-t)} \text{dist}_y^2 \quad \textcircled{3}$$

has a minimum at  $z$ . Extend a geodesic  $[zp]$  by a both-sides infinite unit-speed quasigeodesic<sup>4</sup>  $\sigma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow A$ , so  $\sigma(0) = z$  and  $\sigma^+(0) = \uparrow_{[zp]}$ . The function  $f_t \circ \sigma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\frac{1}{t}$ -concave and from  $\textcircled{3}$ ,

$$f_t \circ \sigma(s) \geq f_t(z) + \langle \gamma^+(t), \uparrow_{[zp]} \rangle \cdot s - \frac{1}{2(1-t)} \cdot s^2.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla_p f_t, \sigma^+(\ell) \rangle &\geq d_p f_t(\sigma^+(\ell)) = \\ &= (f_t \circ \sigma)^+(\ell) \geq \\ &\geq \langle \gamma^+(t), \uparrow_{[zp]} \rangle - \left[\frac{1}{t} + \frac{2}{1-t}\right] \cdot \ell. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

1. Vectors  $\sigma^\pm(\ell)$  are polar, thus  $\langle \alpha^\pm(t), \sigma^+(\ell) \rangle + \langle \alpha^\pm(t), \sigma^-(\ell) \rangle \geq 0$ .
2. Vectors  $\alpha^\pm(t)$  are opposite, thus  $\langle \alpha^+(t), \sigma^\pm(\ell) \rangle + \langle \alpha^-(t), \sigma^\pm(\ell) \rangle = 0$ .
3.  $\alpha^+(t) = \nabla_p f_t$  and  $\sigma^-(\ell) = \uparrow_{[pz]}$

Thus,  $\langle \nabla_p f_t, \sigma^+(\ell) \rangle + \langle \alpha^+(t), \uparrow_{[pz]} \rangle = 0$ . Therefore

$$\ell' = -\langle \alpha^+(t), \uparrow_{[pz]} \rangle - \langle \gamma^+(t), \uparrow_{[zp]} \rangle \geq -\left[\frac{1}{t} + \frac{2}{1-t}\right] \cdot \ell. \quad \square$$

**2.2. Proposition.** *Let  $A \in \text{Alex}^m[0]$ ,  $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be bounded and continuous function and  $f_t = \mathcal{H}_t f$ . Assume  $\gamma: (0, a) \rightarrow A$  is a  $f_t$ -gradient curve which is also a constant-speed geodesic. Assume that function*

$$h(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Trace Hess}_{\gamma(t)} f_t$$

<sup>3</sup>I.e.  $|\alpha^+(t)| = |\alpha^-(t)|$  and  $\angle(\alpha^+(t), \alpha^-(t)) = \pi$

<sup>4</sup>A careful proof of existence of quasigeodesics can be found in [Petrinin 07].

is defined for almost all  $t \in (0, a)$ . Then

$$h' \leq -\frac{1}{m}h^2$$

in the sense of distributions; i.e. for any non-negative Lipschitz function  $u: (0, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with compact support

$$\int_0^a \left( \frac{1}{m}h^2u - hu' \right) dt \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* Since  $h$  are defined a.e., all  $T_{\gamma(t)}$  for  $t \in (0, a)$  are isometric to Euclidean  $m$ -space. From **2**,

$$f_{t_1}(x) = \inf_{y \in A} \left\{ f_{t_0}(y) + \frac{|xy|^2}{2(t_1 - t_0)} \right\}.$$

Thus, for a parallel transportation  $\tau: T_{\gamma(t_0)} \rightarrow T_{\gamma(t_1)}$  along  $\gamma$ , we have

$$\text{Hess}_{\gamma(t_1)} f_{t_1}(y) \leq \text{Hess}_{\gamma(t_0)} f_{t_0}(x) + \frac{|\tau(x)y|^2}{2(t_1 - t_0)}$$

for any  $x \in T_{\gamma(t_0)}$  and  $y \in T_{\gamma(t_1)}$ . Taking trace leads to the result.  $\square$

### 3 Proof of the main theorem

Let  $A \in \text{Alex}^m[0]$ ; in particular  $A$  is proper geodesic space. Let  $\mu_t$  be a family of probability measures on  $A$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$  which forms a *geodesic path*<sup>5</sup> in  $\mathbb{P}_2A$  and both  $\mu_0$  and  $\mu_1$  are absolutely continuous with respect to volume on  $A$ .

It is sufficient<sup>6</sup> to show that function

$$\Theta: t \mapsto U_m \mu_t$$

is concave.

According to [Villani, 7.22], there is a probability measure  $\pi$  on the space of all geodesic paths in  $A$  which satisfy the following: If  $\Gamma = \text{supp } \pi$  and  $e_t: \Gamma \rightarrow A$  is evaluation map  $e_t: \gamma \mapsto \gamma(t)$  then  $\mu_t = e_t \# \pi$ .

The measure  $\pi$  is called *dynamical optimal coupling* for  $\mu_t$  and the measure  $\pi = (e_0, e_1) \# \pi$  is the corresponding *optimal transference plan*. The space  $\Gamma$  will be considered further equipped with the metric  $|\gamma \gamma'| = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |\dot{\gamma}(t) \dot{\gamma}'(t)|$ .

First we present  $\mu_t$  as push-forward of each other for gradients flow of a family of functions. According to [Villani, 5.10], there are optimal price functions  $\varphi, \psi: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\varphi(y) - \psi(x) \leq \frac{1}{2}|xy|^2$$

for any  $x, y \in A$  and equality holds for any  $(x, y) \in \text{supp } \pi$ . We can assume that  $\psi(x) = +\infty$  for  $x \notin \text{supp } \mu_0$  and  $\varphi(y) = -\infty$  for  $y \notin \text{supp } \mu_1$ .

<sup>5</sup>i.e. constant-speed minimizing geodesic defined on  $[0, 1]$

<sup>6</sup>It follows from [Villani, 30.32] since Alexandrov's spaces are nonbranching.

Consider two families of functions

$$\psi_t = \mathcal{H}_t \psi \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_t = \mathcal{H}_{1-t}(-\varphi).$$

Clearly,  $\psi_t$  forms a  $\frac{1}{t}$ -concave family for  $t \in (0, 1]$  and  $\varphi_t$  forms<sup>7</sup> a  $\frac{1}{1-t}$ -concave family for  $t \in [0, 1)$ .

It is straightforward to check that for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$

$$\pm \langle \gamma^\pm(t), v \rangle = d_{\gamma(t)} \psi_t(v) = -d_{\gamma(t)} \varphi_t(v);$$

in particular,

$$\gamma^+(t) = \nabla \psi_t \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma^-(t) = \nabla \varphi_t. \quad \textcircled{4}$$

For  $0 < t_0 \leq t_1 \leq 1$ , let us consider the maps  $\Psi_{t_0, t_1}: A \rightarrow A$  — the gradient flow of  $\psi_t$ , defined by

$$\Psi_{t_0, t_1} \alpha(t_0) = \alpha(t_1) \quad \text{if} \quad \alpha^+(t) = \nabla \psi_t.$$

Similarly,  $0 \leq t_0 \leq t_1 < 1$ , define map  $\Phi_{t_1, t_0}: A \rightarrow A$

$$\Phi_{t_1, t_0} \beta(t_1) = \beta(t_0) \quad \text{if} \quad \beta^-(t) = \nabla \varphi_t.$$

According to 1.2,

$$\Psi_{t_0, t_1} \text{ is } \frac{t_1}{t_0}\text{-Lipschitz} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_{t_1, t_0} \text{ is } \frac{1-t_0}{1-t_1}\text{-Lipschitz}. \quad \textcircled{5}$$

From  $\textcircled{4}$ ,  $e_{t_1} = \Psi_{t_0, t_1} \circ e_{t_0}$  and  $e_{t_0} = \Phi_{t_1, t_0} \circ e_{t_1}$ . Thus, for any  $t \in (0, 1)$ , the map  $e_t: \Gamma \rightarrow A$  is bi-Lipschitz. In particular, for any measure  $\chi$  on  $A$ , there is uniquely determined one-parameter family of “pull-back” measures  $\chi_t^*$  on  $\Gamma$ , i.e. such that  $\chi_t^* E = \chi(e_t E)$  for any Borel subset  $E \subset \Gamma$ .

Fix some  $z_0 \in (0, 1)$  (one can take  $z_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ ) and equip  $\Gamma$  with the measure  $\nu = \text{vol}_{z_0}^*$ . Thus, from now on “almost everywhere” has sense in  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Gamma \times (0, 1)$  and so on.

Now we will represent  $\Theta$  in terms of families of functions on  $\Gamma$ . Note that  $\mu_1 = \Psi_{t_1, 1} \# \mu_t$  and  $\Psi_{t_1, 1}$  is  $\frac{1}{t_1}$ -Lipschitz. Since  $\mu_1$  is absolutely continuous, so is  $\mu_t$  for all  $t$ . Set  $\mu_t = \rho_t \cdot \text{vol}$ . Note that from  $\textcircled{5}$ , we get that

$$\left( \frac{1-t_1}{1-t_0} \right)^m \leq \frac{\rho_{t_1}(\gamma(t_1))}{\rho_{t_0}(\gamma(t_0))} \leq \left( \frac{t_1}{t_0} \right)^m$$

for almost all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $0 < t_0 < t_1 < 1$ . For  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  set  $r_t(\gamma) = \rho_t(\gamma(t))$ . Then

$$\Theta(t) = \int_A \rho_t^{-\frac{1}{m}} d\mu_t = \int_\Gamma r_t^{-\frac{1}{m}} d\Pi. \quad \textcircled{6}$$

In particular,  $\Theta$  locally Lipschitz in  $(0, 1)$ .

Next we show that measure  $\Delta \varphi_t$  is absolutely continuous on  $e_t \Gamma$  and that  $r_t(\gamma(t)) = \rho_t(\gamma(t)) \Delta \varphi_t$  in some weak sense. From  $\textcircled{5}$ ,  $\text{vol}_t^* = e^{w_t} \nu$  for some Borel function  $w_t: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Thus

$$\text{vol } e_t E = \int_E e^{w_t} d\nu$$

<sup>7</sup>Note that usually  $\varphi_t$  is defined with opposite sign, but I wanted to work with semiconcave functions only.

for any Borel subset  $E \subset \Gamma$ . Moreover, for almost all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , we have that function  $t \mapsto w_t(\gamma)$  is locally Lipschitz in  $(0, 1)$  (more precisely,  $t \mapsto w_t(\gamma)$  coincides with a Lipschitz function outside of a set of zero measure). In particular  $\frac{\partial w_t}{\partial t}$  is well defined a.e. in  $\Gamma \times (0, 1)$  and moreover

$$w_t \stackrel{\text{a.e.}}{=} \int_{z_0}^t \frac{\partial w_t}{\partial t} dt.$$

Further, from 2.1, if  $0 < t_0 \leq t_1 < 1$  then for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,

$$\Psi_{t_0, t_1}(x) = \gamma(t_1) \iff x = \gamma(t_0),$$

$$\Phi_{t_1, t_0}(x) = \gamma(t_0) \iff x = \gamma(t_1).$$

Thus, for any Borel subset  $E \subset \Gamma$ ,

$$e_{t_1}E = \Psi_{t_0, t_1} \circ e_{t_0}E = \Phi_{t_1, t_0}^{-1}(e_{t_0}E),$$

$$e_{t_0}E = \Phi_{t_1, t_0} \circ e_{t_1}E = \Psi_{t_0, t_1}^{-1}(e_{t_1}E)$$

Set

$$v(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{vol } e_t E = \int_E e^{w_t} d\nu.$$

From 1.3,

$$v'(t) \stackrel{\text{a.e.}}{=} \Delta \psi_t e_t E \stackrel{\text{a.e.}}{=} -\Delta \varphi_t e_t E.$$

Thus,  $\Delta \psi_t + \Delta \varphi_t = 0$  everywhere on  $e_t \Gamma$ . From 1.1,

$$\Delta \psi_t \leq \frac{m}{t} \text{vol}, \quad \Delta \varphi_t \leq \frac{m}{1-t} \text{vol}.$$

Thus, both restrictions  $\Delta \psi_t|_{e_t \Gamma}$  and  $\Delta \varphi_t|_{e_t \Gamma}$  are absolutely continuous with respect to volume. Therefore

$$v'(t) \stackrel{\text{a.e.}}{=} \int_{e_t E} \text{Trace Hess } \varphi_t d \text{vol}.$$

For one parameter family of functions  $h_t(\gamma) = \text{Trace Hess}_{\gamma(t)} \varphi_t$ , we have

$$v|_{z_0}^t = \int_E (e^{w_t} - 1) d\nu = \int_{z_0}^t dt \int_E h_t e^{w_t} d\nu$$

or any Borel set  $E \subset \Gamma$ . Equivalently,

$$\frac{\partial w_t}{\partial t} \stackrel{\text{a.e.}}{=} h_t$$

From 2.2,

$$\frac{\partial h_t}{\partial t} \leq -\frac{1}{m} h_t^2$$

Thus, for almost all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , the following inequality holds in the sense of distributions:

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \exp\left(\frac{w_t(\gamma)}{m}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{m^2} h_t^2 + \frac{1}{m} \frac{\partial h_t}{\partial t}\right) \exp\left(\frac{w_t(\gamma)}{m}\right) \leq 0;$$

i.e.  $t \mapsto \exp\left(\frac{w_t(\gamma)}{m}\right)$  is concave — more precisely,  $t \mapsto \exp\left(\frac{w_t(\gamma)}{m}\right)$  coincides with a concave function almost everywhere.

Clearly, for any  $t$  we have  $\mu = r_t e^{w_t} \cdot \nu$ . Thus, for almost all  $\gamma$  there is a non-negative Borel function  $a: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  such that  $r_t \stackrel{a.e.}{=} a e^{-w_t}$ . Continue **6**,

$$\Theta(t) = \int_{\Gamma} r_t^{-\frac{1}{m}} d\Pi = \int_{\Gamma} e^{\frac{w_t}{m}} \cdot \sqrt[m]{a} d\Pi$$

I.e.  $\Theta$  is concave as an average of concave functions. Again, more precisely,  $\Theta$  coincides with a concave function a.e., but since  $\Theta$  is locally Lipschitz in  $(0, 1)$  we get that  $\Theta$  is concave.  $\square$

## References

- [AG] Abresch, U., Gromoll, D., *On complete manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 3 (1990), no 2 355–374.
- [Bertrand] Bertrand, J., *Existence and uniqueness of optimal maps on Alexandrov spaces*. Adv. Math. 219, 3 (2008), 838–851.
- [BGP] Burago, Yu.; Gromov, M.; Perelman, G., *A. D. Aleksandrov spaces with curvatures bounded below*. (Russian) Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 47 (1992), no. 2(284), 3–51, 222; translation in Russian Math. Surveys 47 (1992), no. 2, 1–58
- [CMS] Cordero-Erausquin, D.; McCann, R.; Schmuckenschlager, M., *A Riemannian interpolation inequality a la Borell, Brascamp and Lieb*, Invent. Math. 146 (2001), 219–257.
- [McCann] McCann, R.J., *A convexity principle for interacting gases*. Adv. Math. 128 (1997), 153–179
- [Lott–Villani] Lott, J.; Villani, C., *Ricci curvature for metric-measure spaces via optimal transport*. in press.
- [Otto–Villani] Otto, F.; Villani, C., *Generalization of an inequality by Talagrand and links with the logarithmic Sobolev inequality*. J. Funct. Anal. 173 (2000), 361–400
- [Perelman] Perelman, G., *DC Structure on Alexandrov Space*, <http://www.math.psu.edu/petrinin/>
- [Petrinin 98] Petrunin, A., *Parallel transportation for Alexandrov space with curvature bounded below*. GAFA, Vol. 8 (1998) 123–148
- [Petrinin 03] Petrunin, A., *Harmonic functions on Alexandrov space and its applications*, ERA American Mathematical Society, **9** (2003)
- [Petrinin 07] Petrunin, A. *Semiconcave Functions in Alexandrov’s Geometry*, Surveys in Differential Geometry XI.
- [Villani] Villani, C., *Optimal transport, old and new*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Vol. 338, Springer, 2008.

- [Sturm] Sturm, K.-T. *On the geometry of metric measure spaces. I–II.* Acta Math. 196, 1 (2006), 65–177.
- [Sturm–v.Renesse] Sturm, K.-Th.; von Renesse, M.-K., *Transport inequalities, gradient estimates, entropy and Ricci curvature.* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 58 (2005), 923–940