

Geometric Analysis and the singularities of Schrödinger operator eigenfunctions (periodic potentials)

Joint work with

Jorge O. Sofo and Eugenie Hunsicker

Colloquium Wien, April 2009

Victor Nistor, Penn State U.

www.math.psu.edu/nistor/

(Regensburg Lectures for Geometric Analysis)

This work was supported in part by NSF

Abstract

We study the **eigenvalues** of **Schrödinger-type operators** of the form

$$-\Delta u + Vu \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^3,$$

V potential with *Coulomb type singularities* in a class of potentials **closed under Hartree's iteration**.

Example: **one electron** systems and **DFT**.

Motivation (short): the *Hartree and DFT* (Density Functional Theory) methods to approximate the **eigenvalues** and **eigenvectors** of the Schrödinger operator of a **multi-electron system**. For a multi-electron system (atom or molecule) the **direct methods are extremely expensive**.

Methods from analysis on manifolds with conical singularities/cylindrical ends ($V =$ lower order perturbation).

Multi-particle systems: Lie manifolds, methods of Geometric Analysis.

Plan

1. **Motivation** (*Review of:* Schrödinger's Equation(s), Hydrogen atom, Rayleigh quotients, Ground states).
2. **Approximation of eigenvalues** (Total effort, Problems, Born–Openheimer approximation, Hartree and DFT Methods, **Formulation of the Problem**)
- 3 **Periodic potentials** (Bloch's theorem).
4. **Eigenvalue estimates** (**Results:** well-posedness and regularity results, regularity of eigenfunctions in weighted Sobolev spaces, optimal approximation results, a class of potentials closed under Hartree's iteration).
5. **Conclusions and future work**

Motivation: Quantum theory

Rutherford and col.: a model of the atom as a **miniature solar system** with a small, central nucleus about which the much lighter electrons cluster. The bonding forces are electrostatic, instead of gravitational.

Problem: *decay due to energy radiation.*

Bohr: **quantization** of *phase integral* ($\int p dq = nh$) and **discrete set of possible orbits** for the electrons were imposed.

This can be used to justify the **emission spectrum of the Hydrogen atom:**

$$h\nu = c(n^{-2} - m^{-2}), \quad n, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

($n = 2$ and $m = 3, 4, 5, 6$ form the *Balmer's series*, which is in the visible spectrum, so it is **observed**.)

Planck & Einstein: **energy quanta**, $E = h\nu$.

Schrödinger's equation

The form of the discrete emission and absorption spectrum of other atoms (other than H) could not be justified classically by Bohr's model.

Schrödinger (building on work of **de Broglie** and others), formulated a **wave equation** for a particle moving in a force field with potential V :

$$i\hbar\partial\psi/\partial t = H\psi, \quad H = T_{kin} + V.$$

$|\psi(x)|^2$ is the probability density for the given particle to be at position x . Moreover, $\psi \sim \lambda\psi$, for $|\lambda| = 1$.

The **time independent Schrödinger equation** (stationary solutions)

$$H\psi = E\psi,$$

and describes the possible **bound states** of the system, with E the **energy** of that state.

The **radiation emitted** by the system is then

$$\nu = (E_{init} - E_{fin})/h.$$

The **Hydrogen atom** (suitable coordinates and units):

$$H = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta - \frac{1}{r}.$$

Simplest operator of the type we study.

Hydrogen atom: **explicit calculations are possible.**

Schrödinger has computed the **eigenvalues** (discrete spectrum) of the Hydrogen atom Hamiltonian H :

$$E_n = -\frac{1}{2n^2}$$

This is in **spectacular agreement with the observed spectrum** of the Hydrogen atom.

Hydrogen Eigenfunctions

The E_n -eigenfunctions of the Hydrogen atom are

$$\phi_{n,\beta}(x) = P_\beta(x)L_\beta(r)e^{-r/n}, \quad r = |x|, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3$$

where p and L are polynomials with total degree

$$\deg(p) + \deg(L) \leq n - 1.$$

$\phi_{n,\beta}$ is *not smooth* at the origin.

$\phi_{n,\beta}(rx')$ is *smooth* in $(r, x') \in [0, \infty) \times S^2$, (generalized polar coordinates).

One of our main results will generalize this smoothness in generalized polar coordinates

The theory (but not the explicit calculations) extend to multi-electron systems.

Successes of QM theory have lead to ...

... Dirac's famous 1929 quote

“The general theory of quantum mechanics is now almost complete, [...].

*“The underlying physical laws necessary for the mathematical theory of **a large part of physics and the whole of chemistry** are thus **completely known**, and the difficulty is only that the exact application of these laws leads to equation **much too complicated to be soluble**.”*

*“It therefore becomes desirable that **approximate practical methods** of applying quantum mechanics should be developed, [...]”*

Dirac, *Quantum mechanics of many-electron systems*, 1929 (courtesy of **Jim Anderson**, Chemistry, PSU).

Multi-electron systems

- M nuclei with charges Z_α and masses m_α
- N electrons (atomic units $m_e = 1$, $e = -1$).

$$H_{full} = - \sum_{\alpha=1}^M \frac{1}{2m_\alpha} \Delta_{y_\alpha} - \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{x_k} + V_{full},$$

$\Delta_z = \mathbf{Laplace}$ operator in the $z \in \mathbb{R}^3$ variable.

$V_{full} = V_{nn} + V_{ne} + V_{ee} = \text{potential}$.

$$V_{ne}(x) = - \sum_k \underbrace{\sum_\alpha Z_\alpha |x_k - y_\alpha|^{-1}}_{-v(x_k)} = \sum_k v(x_k)$$

$$V_{ee} = \sum_{k < l} |x_k - x_l|^{-1}$$

The red terms are often omitted (**Born–Openheimer approximation**).

The Ground State

A **fundamental problem** is to find the **eigenvalues** E_n and **eigenfunctions** of H (energy levels, spectra, evolution, ...).

For $E_1 := \min E_n$ we get **the ground state** of H .

For example, the **ground state energy** E is given by minimizing the **Rayleigh quotient**:

$$E_1 := \min E_n = \inf_{\psi \neq 0} \frac{(H\psi, \psi)}{(\psi, \psi)}$$

To find E_2 , we then restrict to $\psi \perp \psi_1$, where ψ_1 is the ground state, ...

Impossible to do exactly: approximate methods.

Approximation

In *principle*, a good approximation of $E_1 := \min E_n$ can be obtained by minimizing $(H\psi, \psi)/(\psi, \psi)$ over a **well-chosen, finite dimensional** subspace V :

$$E_1 \simeq E_{1V} := \inf_{\psi \in V \setminus 0} \frac{(H\psi, \psi)}{(\psi, \psi)}$$

This can, of course, be continued with an approximation of E_2, \dots

The amount of work needed to find the minimum E_{1V} depends on the dimension of V , so *we would like V to be as small as possible*.

We thus need V to “**almost contain**” the first few eigenfunctions of H .

We need **good information** on the eigenvalues.

Work

ϵ = desired precision, and

m = order of approximation.

Typical approximations: $\dim V \sim \epsilon^{-3(M+N)/m}$,

So the work (effort) is

$$\text{Work} \simeq C \left(\epsilon^{-3(M+N)/m} \right)^q, \quad C = C_{M+N,m,q}.$$

Problems:

- Linear algebra improves q , but $q \geq 1$.
- The high dimension $M + N$ of the space;
- For standard methods, the singularities of the eigenfunctions ϕ of H will limit m (pollution).

(The “pollution phenomenon,” extensively studied: **Apel, Arnold, Babuška, Bramble, Dauge, Guo, Melenk, Oden, Osborn, Schatz, Strang, Schwab, Wahlbin, Xu, ...**).

Born–Openheimer approximation

The idea behind the **Born–Openheimer approximation** is that, since $m_\alpha \gg 1$, the nuclei are much more localized, so we freeze them at some fixed values y_α .

We **drop the red terms** in $H_{full} =$

$$= - \sum_{\alpha=1}^M \frac{1}{2m_\alpha} \Delta_{y_\alpha} - \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{x_k} + \underbrace{V_{nn} + V_{ne} + V_{ee}}_{V_{full}},$$

We obtain the *simplified Hamiltonian*:

$$H = - \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{x_k} + V_{ne} + V_{ee} \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^{3N}.$$

Still, only $N \leq 3$ can be handled by this method.

Hartree and DFT

Hartree's method: Decompose

$$\begin{aligned} H - V_{ee} &= - \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{x_k} + V_{ne} \\ &= - \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{x_k} + \underbrace{\sum_{\alpha} Z_{\alpha} |x_k - y_{\alpha}|^{-1}}_{-v(x_k)} \right) = \sum_{k=1}^N H_k \end{aligned}$$

with

$$H_k \text{ " = " } \tilde{H} := -\frac{1}{2} \Delta + v,$$

acting only on the $x_k \in \mathbb{R}^3$ variables

$$v(x) = - \sum_{\alpha} Z_{\alpha} |x - y_{\alpha}|^{-1}$$

We shall concentrate on operators similar to \tilde{H} .

The eigenvalues of \tilde{H} will determine the eigenvalues of $H - V_{ee}$.

Let q_j be the *eigenfunctions* of $\tilde{H} = -\Delta/2 + v$.

Roughly, *Hartree's method* consists in trying to approximate the ground state of H with

$$\psi(x_1, \dots, x_N) = q_1(x_1)q_2(x_2) \dots q_N(x_N).$$

Incorporating ψ in the calculations, will amount to find the *eigenfunctions* of $-\frac{1}{2}\Delta + v + \Phi$, where Φ is a sum of terms of the form

$$c \int |x - y|^{-1} |q_j(y)| dy = \Delta^{-1} |q_j|^2.$$

We then *repeat* with v replaced with $v + \Phi$.

We define inductively $V_0 = v$.

Then, if V_{n-1} was defined, **we compute** (!) the first N eigenfunctions of $-\Delta/2 + V_{n-1}$ and form Φ_{n-1} by adding terms of the form $\Delta^{-1}|q_j|^2$.

Finally we define

$$V_n = v + \Phi_{n-1} := v + \sum c_j \Delta^{-1}|q_j|^2.$$

v contains the singularities. (**Hartree's iteration.**)

Natural Questions:

- Find a class of potentials V that is closed under the Hartree iteration.
- Show good approximation properties for the eigenfunctions of these potentials.

Main Results: We answer these questions in the periodic case.

Bloch's Theorem

We consider $H = -\Delta/2 + V$, acting on \mathbb{R}^3 .

V has only **Coulomb singularities** and is **periodic** (for some period lattice \mathcal{L}).

$\mathbb{T} := \mathbb{R}^3/\mathcal{L} \simeq (S^1)^3$, a **three dimensional torus**.

$\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{T}$ the **finite set of singularities** of V .

Bloch's Theorem, we need to consider $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2, k_3)$

$$H_{\mathbf{k}} := - \sum_{j=1}^3 (\partial_j + ik_j)^2/2 + V,$$

acting on $\mathbb{T} := \mathbb{R}^3/\mathcal{L}$ (i.e. on *periodic* functions).

(Our results are to a large extent independent of \mathbf{k} .)

To state our results we need ...

... Classes of potentials

We denote by $\overline{M}_{\mathcal{S}}$ the set obtained by replacing each $Q \in \mathcal{S}$ with a **small sphere** around it (blow up).

(Ex. \mathbb{R}^3 is replaced with $[0, \infty) \times S^2$, if we replace the origin in \mathbb{R}^3 with a sphere.)

This introduces “**generalized polar coordinates**” (r, x') in the neighborhood of each point $Q \in \mathcal{S}$.

Denote by r the distance to \mathcal{S} (smoothed outside \mathcal{S}).

“Coulomb type singularity” means that rV belong to one of the following classes:

$$\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{T}) \subset \mathcal{C}^\infty(\overline{M}_{\mathcal{S}}) \subset W^{\infty, \infty}.$$

Results

Theorem. (Hunsicker-Sofo-N.) Assume $rV \in C^\infty(\overline{M_S})$ and $u \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ is an *eigenfunction* of H_k :

$$H_k u := - \sum_{j=1}^3 (\partial_j + ik_j)^2 u / 2 + V u = \lambda u.$$

Then $u \in C^\infty(\overline{M_S})$.

This theorem can be used to justify *Taylor-type expansions* of u close to the singularity (*Kato's cusp condition*, Hoffmann-Ostenhof).

However, $C^\infty(\overline{M_S})$ is *not closed* under Hartree's iteration.

We introduce a **larger class** of potentials $W^{\infty, \infty}$.

Except for the above theorem, all the following results hold for this larger class of potentials.

Functions spaces

Weighted Sobolev spaces:

$$\mathcal{K}_a^m(\mathbb{T}) := \{v, r^{|\alpha|-a} \partial^\alpha v \in L^2(\mathbb{T}), \forall |\alpha| \leq m\}.$$

Similarly,

$$W_b^{m,\infty}(\mathbb{T}) := \{v, r^{|\alpha|} \partial^\alpha v \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}), \forall |\alpha| \leq m\}.$$

As usual:

$$\mathcal{K}_a^\infty := \bigcap_m \mathcal{K}_a^m(\mathbb{T}),$$

and $W^{\infty,\infty} := \bigcap_m W^{m,\infty}(\mathbb{T})$.

From now on we assume:

$$rV \in W^{\infty,\infty} \supset \mathcal{C}^\infty(\overline{M_S}) \supset \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{T}).$$

Theorem. (H.-S.-N.) H_k is self-adjoint on $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ with domain $H^2(\mathbb{T})$ and with compact resolvent.

In particular, we can find an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ consisting of eigenfunctions of H_k .

Theorem. (H.-S.-N.) Let $H_k u = \lambda u$, $u \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$.
Then

$$u \in H^{5/2-\epsilon}(\mathbb{T}) \cap \mathcal{K}_{3/2-\epsilon}^\infty \cap W^{\infty,\infty},$$

and $\Delta^{-1}|u|^2 \in W^{\infty,\infty}$.

The last results shows that our class of potentials is **closed under Hartree's iteration**.

Regularity of eigenfunctions, analogous to classical results.

How well can we compute the eigenvalues?

Recall that (effort) is

$$\text{Work} \simeq C \epsilon^{-3q/m}, \quad N = 1, M = 0.$$

For standard methods (quasi-uniform meshes), $m < 5/2$, since $u \notin H^{5/2}$ (recall e^{-r}).

We need better approximation spaces: (non quasi-uniform meshes, GFEM, ...)

Piecewise polynomial approximations

Let \mathcal{T} be a triangulation of \mathbb{T} (mesh) and $S(\mathcal{T}, m)$ be the space of **continuous** functions on \mathbb{T} that are **polynomials** of degree $\leq m$ on each tetrahedron of the mesh.

There exists a simple refining procedure (divide each edge closer to the singularity) that yields inductively a sequence of meshes \mathcal{T}_n with the following property.

Theorem. (H.-S.-N.) Let $u \in \mathcal{K}_{3/2-\epsilon}^{m+1}(\mathbb{T} \setminus \mathcal{S}) \cap H^2(\mathbb{T})$. Then, if $S_{n,m} = S(\mathcal{T}_n, m)$

$$\text{dist}_{\mathcal{K}_1^1}(u, S_{n,m}) \leq C(u) \dim(S_{n,m}^{-m/3}),$$

$$C(u) = C_0(\|u\|_{\mathcal{K}_{3/2-\epsilon}^{m+1}} + \|u\|_{H^2(\mathbb{T})}).$$

For our meshes, **no restriction** on m in the estimate of the amount of work. **The sequence** $S(\mathcal{T}, m)$ **yields optimal convergence rates. Classically, $m \leq 5/2$.**

Some details

$H_k : \mathcal{K}_{a+1}^{m+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{a-1}^{m-1}$ is **continuous** and satisfies **elliptic regularity**.

$H_k + \Delta : \mathcal{K}_{a+1}^{m+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_a^m$ is of “**lower order**”.

$\mathcal{K}_a^m \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{a-1}^{m-1}$ is **compact**, $\Rightarrow H_k$ is self-adjoint with domain the domain of Δ , that is $H^2(\mathbb{T})$.

H_k and Δ are **Fredholm** for $a - 1/2 \notin \mathbb{Z}$.

It is essential to compute their index.

$\Delta : \mathcal{K}_{a+1}^{m+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{a-1}^{m-1}$ is an **isomorphism** for $a \in (-1/2, 1/2)$ on functions with mean zero ($\Delta 1 = 0$).

This is **not true** for $a \notin (-1/2, 1/2)$.

Sobolev embedding: $\mathcal{K}_{a+1}^\infty \subset W^{\infty, \infty}$ for $a \geq 1/2$ (**Ammann–Ionescu–N.**). (**Not** in the above range.)

We need a refined *well-posedness* result.

Refined well-posedness result

$\forall Q \in \mathcal{S}$, we fix $\chi_Q \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T})$,

$\chi_Q = 1$ in a neighborhood of Q ,

$\chi_Q = 0$ in a neighborhood of each singular point $Q' \neq Q$.

Let $W_s := \{\sum_Q c_Q \chi_Q\}$, a space of dimension the number of nuclei.

Refined well-posedness result (using an index calculation):

Theorem. (H.-J.-N.) The map

$$\Delta : (\mathcal{K}_{a+1}^{m+1} + W_s) \cap \{1\}^\perp \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{a-1}^{m-1} \cap \{1\}^\perp$$

is an **isomorphism** for $a \in (1/2, 3/2)$.

We have $\mathcal{K}_{a+1}^\infty + W_s \in W^{\infty, \infty}$ for $a \geq 1/2$.

Summary

We have studied operators of the form

$$H_{\mathbf{k}} := - \sum_{j=1}^3 (\partial_j + ik_j)^2 / 2 + V$$

(solid state physics, band structure of the spectrum).

For a large class of potentials, $rV \in W^{\infty, \infty}$, we have proved **full regularity of eigenfunctions** in weighted Sobolev spaces. (The regularity is limited in the usual Sobolev spaces.)

This enough to obtain **optimal approximability** of the eigenfunctions of $H_{\mathbf{k}}$.

This class of potentials is **closed under the Hartree** iteration.

Singularities similar to the ones in polygonal domains.

Methods: Analysis on non-compact manifolds.

Future work

Numerical tests.

Direct approximation for the Helium atom and, hopefully larger atoms or molecules.

Singularities similar to those in **polyhedral domains** in three or more dimensions.

We used methods from analysis on manifolds with conical singularities/cylindrical ends.

V = lower order perturbation.

Multi-particle systems: we plan to use Lie manifolds, methods of Geometric Analysis.

(Regensburg Lectures for Geometric Analysis)