

# Differential rigidity of group actions

Misha Guysinsky

Summer School “Dynamical Systems: Geometric structures and rigidity”, Bedlewo, Poland

## Main References

- A. Katok and R. Spatzier, *Differential rigidity of Anosov actions of Hyperbolic Abelian groups*, preprint, 1992.
- A. Katok and R. Spatzier, *First cohomology of Anosov actions of higher rank Abelian groups and applications to rigidity*, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. **79**(1994), 131–156.
- A. Katok and R. Spatzier, *Differential rigidity of Anosov actions of higher rank Abelian groups and algebraic lattice actions*, Dynamical Systems and Related Topics (Volume dedicated to D.V. Anosov), Proc. Steklov Math.Inst., Vol. 216 (1997), 287–314

Last two papers are available on A.Katok’s site: [www.math.psu.edu/katok\\_a/papers.html](http://www.math.psu.edu/katok_a/papers.html)

## Hyperbolic Fixed Points

**Definition 1.** A linear map  $L : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is called *hyperbolic* if absolute values of all eigenvalues are different from 1.

**Definition 2.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be  $C^\infty$  function, such that  $x_0$  is a fixed point. We say that  $x_0$  is a *hyperbolic fixed point* if the derivative at the point  $x_0$  is a hyperbolic linear map.

## One dimensional case

**Example 1.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be  $C^\infty$  function.  $f(0) = 0$ .

Denote  $f'(0) = a$ . Then  $f(x) = ax + o(x)$ .

If  $|a| < 1$  then  $f(x)$  is a contracting map in some neighborhood of 0. If  $|a| > 1$  then  $f(x)$  is an expanding map in some neighborhood of 0.

If  $|a| = 1$  then  $o(x)$  determines the behavior of  $f^n(x)$ .

If  $|f(x) - g(x)| < \epsilon$  and  $|f'(x) - g'(x)| < \epsilon$ , then the function  $g$  has a hyperbolic fixed point  $x_0$  close to 0, and this is the only fixed point of  $g$  close to 0.

## Hyperbolic Linear Maps

**Example 2.** Let  $L : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be a matrix

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $0 < \lambda < 1 < \mu$ .

$\mathbb{R}^2$  is a direct sum of two invariant subspaces:  $\mathbb{R}^2 = E^- \oplus E^+$ .

There exists a metric on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , such that  $L$  is a contracting map on  $E^-$  and an expanding map on  $E^+$ .

$E^- = \{v | L^n(v) \rightarrow 0\}$  and  $E^+ = \{v | L^{-n}(v) \rightarrow 0\}$ .

All this true for any hyperbolic linear map  $L$ .

## Hadamard-Perron Theorem

**Theorem 0.1** (Hadamard-Perron Theorem). *Let  $0$  be a hyperbolic fixed point of an invertible  $C^\infty$  map  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ . We denote as  $L$  the derivative of  $f$  at  $0$ . As we know  $L = E^- \oplus E^+$ . There exists a small ball  $B$  around  $0$ , such that the sets*

$$W^s = \{x | f^n(x) \in B \text{ for all } n \geq 0 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f^n(x) = 0\}$$

$$W^u = \{x | f^{-n}(x) \in B \text{ for all } n \geq 0 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f^{-n}(x) = 0\}$$

are  $C^\infty$  manifolds and their tangent spaces at  $0$  are  $E^-$  and  $E^+$ . There exists a Riemannian metric such that the map  $f$  is a contracting map on  $W^s$  and expanding map on  $W^u$ . There exist  $\lambda$ ,  $0 < \lambda < 1$  and  $C$ , such that  $\text{dist}(f^n(x), f^n(y)) \leq C\lambda^n$  for all  $n \geq 0$  and  $x, y \in W^s$  and  $\text{dist}(f^{-n}(x), f^{-n}(y)) \leq C\lambda^n$  for all  $n \geq 0$  and  $x, y \in W^u$

## Hartmann-Grobman Theorem

Let the map  $f$  has a hyperbolic fixed point  $p$ . Then:

- Small  $C^1$  perturbation of  $f$  does not destroy the fixed point, but only moves it to a close position.
- The map  $f$  has two nice manifolds intersecting at the fixed points that are small perturbations of  $E^\pm$ .
- Let  $f$  and  $g$  are  $C^1$  close. Denote the hyperbolic point close to  $p$  as  $q$ , then there are neighborhoods  $B_p$  and  $B_q$  of  $p$  and  $q$  and a homeomorphism  $h : B_p \rightarrow B_q$ , such that  $f = h^{-1} \circ g \circ h$

# 1 Anosov maps

## Global behavior

Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold and  $f : M \rightarrow M$  a smooth diffeomorphism. We denote as

- $T_x M$  (or  $T_x$  the tangent space at the point  $x$ ,
- $TM$  the tangent bundle to the manifold  $M$ ,
- $Df_x : T_x \rightarrow T_{f(x)}$  the derivative of the map  $f$  at the point  $x$ ,
- $\|v\|$  the norm of a tangent vector  $v \in T_x M$  with respect to the chosen Riemannian metric.

We say that a subbundle  $E = \cup_{x \in M} E_x$  is invariant under  $Df$  if

$$Df_x(E_x) \subset E_{f(x)}.$$

## Definition of Anosov map

**Definition 3.** We say that a smooth diffeomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow M$  is Anosov, if  $M$  is compact and there exist  $\lambda, 0 < \lambda < 1$ ,  $C > 0$  and a direct sum decomposition of the tangent bundle of  $M$  in two invariant continuous subbundles,  $TM = E^- \oplus E^+$ , such that: for each integer  $m > 0$  and  $v \in E_x^-$ :

$$\|Df_x^m v\| \leq C\lambda^m \|v\|$$

for each integer  $m > 0$  and  $v \in E_x^+$ :

$$\|Df_x^{-m} v\| \leq C\lambda^m \|v\|$$

## Example of Anosov map

Let  $M = \mathbb{R}^2 / \mathbb{Z}^2$  and  $A \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ .

For example, let us take

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

. Eigenvalues are

$$\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{3 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}$$

## Stability of Anosov maps

**Theorem 1.1** (Anosov). *If a map  $f$  is Anosov and a map  $g$  is obtained by a small in  $C^1$  topology perturbation, then  $g$  is also Anosov.*

**Theorem 1.2** (Anosov). *Anosov maps are structurally stable. It means that if  $f$  is an Anosov map, then there exists a  $C^1$  neighborhood of  $f$ , such that for each map  $g$  from this neighborhood there exists a homeomorphism  $h : M \rightarrow M$  and  $f = h^{-1} \circ g \circ h$*

## Remarks

**Remark 1.** It is easy to see that  $h$  is very rarely  $C^1$ .

**Remark 2.** In the definition of the Anosov map we require the subbundles  $E_x^\pm$  to depend on  $x$  only continuously. It is easy to prove that they always depend on  $x$  Holder-continuously, and usually they are not even  $C^1$ . In the example, that we saw they were  $C^\infty$ . This is an exception.

## 2 Stable and Unstable manifolds

### Local Stable manifolds

Let  $f : M \rightarrow M$  be an Anosov map. There exists a family of balls  $B(p)$  with radius continuously depending on a point  $p \in M$  such that the sets  $W_p^{s,loc}, W_p^{u,loc}$

$$\{x | f^n(x) \in B_{f^n(p)} \text{ for } n \geq 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} dist(f^n(x), f^n(p)) = 0\}$$

$$\{x | f^{-n}(x) \in B_{f^{-n}(p)} \text{ for } n \geq 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} dist(f^{-n}(x), f^{-n}(p)) = 0\}$$

are  $C^\infty$  manifolds and their tangent spaces at  $p$  are  $E_p^-$  and  $E_p^+$ .

$$f(W_p^{s,loc}) \subset W_{f(p)}^{s,loc}$$

$$f^{-1}(W_p^{u,loc}) \subset W_{f^{-1}(p)}^{u,loc}$$

There exists a Riemannian metric such that the map  $f$  is a contracting map on  $W_p^s$  and expanding map on  $W_p^u$ .

### Local Stable Manifolds

Let  $f : M \rightarrow M$  be an Anosov map. There exists a family of balls  $B(p)$  with radius continuously depending on a point  $p \in M$  such that the sets  $W_p^{s,loc}, W_p^{u,loc}$

$$\{x | f^n(x) \in B_{f^n(p)} \text{ for } n \geq 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \text{dist}(f^n(x), f^n(p)) = 0\}$$

$$\{x | f^{-n}(x) \in B_{f^{-n}(p)} \text{ for } n \geq 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \text{dist}(f^{-n}(x), f^{-n}(p)) = 0\}$$

are  $C^\infty$  manifolds and their tangent spaces at  $p$  are  $E_p^-$  and  $E_p^+$ .

$$f(W_p^{s,loc}) \subset W_{f(p)}^{s,loc}$$

$$f^{-1}(W_p^{u,loc}) \subset W_{f^{-1}(p)}^{u,loc}$$

There exist  $\lambda, 0 < \lambda < 1$  and  $C$ , such that  $\text{dist}(f^n(x), f^n(y)) \leq C\lambda^n$  for all  $n \geq 0$  and  $x, y \in W_p^{s,loc}$  and  $\text{dist}(f^{-n}(x), f^{-n}(y)) \leq C\lambda^n$  for all  $n \geq 0$  and  $x, y \in W_p^{u,loc}$

### Global Stable and Unstable Manifolds

We can define global stable manifolds as

$$W_p^s = \{x \in M | \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \text{dist}(f^n(x), f^n(p)) = 0\} = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} W_{f^{-n}(p)}^{s,loc}$$

The same definition holds for  $W_p^u$ , after replacing  $f$  with  $f^{-1}$ . Properties of Global Manifolds:

1.  $W^s$  and  $W^u$  are  $f$  invariant.
2.  $T_x W_x^s = E_x^-$  and  $T_x W_x^u = E_x^+$ .
3. If  $W_x^s \cap W_y^s$  is not empty, then  $W_x^s = W_y^s$ . The same for  $W_x^u, W_y^u$ .

## 3 Anosov Flows

### Smooth Flows

We say that a family  $\{f_t | t \in \mathbb{R}\}$  of smooth diffeomorphisms  $f_t : M \rightarrow M$  is a flow if

1.  $f_0(x) = x$ .
2.  $f_{t+s} = f_t \circ f_s$ .
3.  $F(t, x) = f_t(x)$  is a smooth function.

If we denote  $\frac{df_t(x)}{dt}|_{t=0} = v(x)$ , then  $v(x)$  is a smooth vector field and  $f_t(x_0)$  is a solution of ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = v(x), x(0) = x_0.$$

### Anosov flow

A smooth flow  $f_t$  on a compact  $C^\infty$  manifold  $M$  is called Anosov, if the tangent bundle is a direct sum of three subbundles  $TM = E^- \oplus E^0 \oplus E^+$ , where  $E_x^0$  is the tangent line to the orbit of  $x$ , and  $E_x^\pm$  satisfy the same properties as for Anosov maps, there exist  $\lambda, 0 < \lambda < 1, C > 0$  such that: for each  $t \geq 0$  and  $v \in E_x^-$ :

$$\|Df_t(v)\| \leq C\lambda^t \|v\|$$

for each  $t \geq 0$  and  $v \in E_x^+$ :

$$\|Df_{-t}(v)\| \leq C\lambda^t \|v\|$$

### Anosov flow

A smooth flow  $f_t$  on a compact  $C^\infty$  manifold  $M$  is called Anosov, if the tangent bundle is a direct sum of three subbundles  $TM = E^- \oplus E^0 \oplus E^+$ , where  $E_x^0$  is the tangent line to the orbit of  $x$ , and  $E_x^\pm$  satisfy the same properties as for Anosov maps, there exist  $\lambda, 0 < \lambda < 1, C > 0$  such that: for each  $t \geq 0$  and  $v \in E_x^-$ :  $\|Df_t(v)\| \leq C\lambda^t \|v\|$  for each  $t \geq 0$  and  $v \in E_x^+$ :  $\|Df_{-t}(v)\| \leq C\lambda^t \|v\|$

### PHD

Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold and  $f : M \rightarrow M$  be a  $C^\infty$  map. Assume its tangent bundle could be decomposed in the direct sum of invariant continuous subbundles

$$TM = E^- \oplus E^0 \oplus E^+$$

and there exist numbers  $\lambda < 1 < \mu$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\|Df^n(v)\|} \leq \lambda, \text{ if } v \in E^-$$

$$\lambda + \epsilon \leq \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\|Df^n(v)\|} \text{ and } \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\|Df^n(v)\|} \leq \mu - \epsilon \text{ if } v \in E^0$$

$$\underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\|Df^n(v)\|} \geq \mu \text{ if } v \in E^+$$

### Questions

We want to answer the following questions:

1. Is a  $C^1$  close PHD also PHD?
2. What foliations we have for PHD?
3. Is PHD structurally stable ?

### Persistence of invariant distributions

Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold and  $f : M \rightarrow M$  be a  $C^1$  map. Assume its tangent bundle could be decomposed in the direct sum of invariant continuous subbundles

$$TM = E^1 \oplus E^2 \oplus \dots \oplus E^k$$

and there exist numbers

$$\lambda_1 \leq \mu_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \mu_2 < \dots < \lambda_k \leq \mu_k, c, C$$

such that if  $v \in E^i$  then

$$\lambda_i \leq \varliminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\|Df^n(v)\|} \text{ and } \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\|Df^n(v)\|} \leq \mu_i$$

If  $g$  is  $C^1$  closed to  $f$  then  $g$  has invariant continuous subbundles  $V^i$  that are  $C^0$  close to  $E^i$ .

### Perturbation of Anosov flows

We say that two smooth flows are  $C^1$  close if their vector fields are  $C^1$  close. It is equivalent to saying that two smooth flows  $f_t$  and  $g_t$  are  $C^1$  close if  $f_t$  is  $C^1$  close to  $g_t$  as a map, for all  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ .

Exercise: If  $f_t$  is an Anosov flow, then there is a  $C^1$  neighborhood of  $f_t$  such that all smooth flows from this neighborhood are Anosov.

### Integrability of invariant distributions

Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold and  $f : M \rightarrow M$  be a  $C^\infty$  map. Assume its tangent bundle could be decomposed in the direct sum of invariant continuous subbundles  $TM = E^- \oplus E^+$  and there exist numbers  $\lambda < \mu$ , such that

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\|Df^n(v)\|} \leq \lambda, \text{ if } v \in E^- \text{ and } \varliminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\|Df^n(v)\|} \geq \mu$$

Assume that  $\lambda < 1$ . Then there exists a continuous invariant foliation  $W^s$  with  $C^1$  leaves and  $TW^s = E^-$ . Moreover,

$$W_p^s = \{x, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\text{dist}(f^n(p), f^n(x))} = 0\}$$

If in addition  $\mu \geq 1$ , then the leaves of the foliation are  $C^\infty$ .

### Foliations

Anosov flow:

$$TM = E^- \oplus E^0 \oplus E^+$$

There are several foliations related to an Anosov flow.

- Orbit foliation. It is smooth.
- Strong stable and unstable foliations. Only continuous.
- Weak stable and unstable foliations. Only continuous.

### Stability of Anosov Flows

Let  $f_t$  be Anosov flow on a smooth, compact manifold  $M$ , and  $g_t$  is  $C^1$  close smooth flow. There exists a unique continuous homeomorphism  $h : M \rightarrow M$  which is  $C^0$  close to the  $Id$  map, such that orbits of the flow  $f_t$  are mapped to the orbits of the flow  $g_t$ :

$$f_t(x) = h^{-1} \circ g_{s(t,x)} \circ h(x)$$

$s(t, x)$  has a property that

$$s(t_1 + t_2, x) = s(t_1, x) + s(t_2, f_{t_1}(x)) \text{ (cocycle).}$$

### Structural stability for some PHD

$M, f$  and  $TM = E^- \oplus E^0 \oplus E^+$ , where

- $E^-$  is contracting
- $E^0$  has zero growth
- $E^+$  is expanding
- Assume that  $E^0$  is integrable and  $E^0$  is  $C^1$ . If  $g$  is sufficiently  $C^1$  close to  $f$  then:
  1. It has central, weak stable(unstable) and strong stable(unstable) foliations
  2. There is a homeomorphism  $h$  that maps central foliation to the central and is uniquely defined in the transversal direction. It could be chosen to be smooth along the central foliation and  $C^0$  close to the identity.

### Examples of Anosov Flows: Suspension

Let  $M$  be a smooth compact manifold,  $f$  be an Anosov map on  $M$ . We construct an Anosov flow on a new manifold  $N$ . First we define a flow  $F_t$  on the manifold  $\mathbb{R} \times M$ .

$$F_t((s, x)) = (s + t, x)$$

Then we identify points  $(t + n, x)$  and  $(t, f^n(x))$ . The resulting manifold we call  $N$ , The resulting flow  $f(t)$ . Exercise: Prove that if  $f$  was Anosov, then  $f_t$  is Anosov.

### Geodesic flow

Let  $\Gamma$  be a cocompact lattice of  $SL(2, R)$ ,

$$A_t = \begin{pmatrix} e^t & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t} \end{pmatrix}$$

We define the flow  $f_t$  on the manifold  $SL(2, R)/\Gamma$  as

$$f_t(X) = A_t X$$

Exercise: Prove that it is Anosov.

### Higher rank actions

We say that an action of  $\mathbb{Z}^k$  is Anosov if there is an Anosov element. We say that  $\mathbb{R}^k$  action is Anosov if there is a PHD element with central distribution which is tangent bundle for the orbit foliation.

### Example of Anosov $\mathbb{R}^k$ action

Consider two commuting matrices  $A$  and  $B$  on  $\mathbb{T}^3$ . Construct a new manifold  $\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ . Define an  $\mathbb{R}^2$  action on this manifold as

$$F_{t_1 t_2}((x, s_1, s_2)) = (x, t_1 + s_1, t_2 + s_2)$$

We define a  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  action as

$$(x, s + n, t + m) = (A^n B^m x, s, t)$$

$F$  commutes with this this action, hence it is well defined on the orbits of this action.

### Algebraic $\mathbb{R}^k$ actions

Let  $H$  be a connected Lie group (say  $SL(n+1, \mathbb{R})$  or  $SL(n+1, \mathbb{C})$ ) and there is a subgroup of  $H$  isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^k$  (say diagonal matrices with real coefficients). Assume  $\Gamma$  is cocompact lattice and  $C$  is a compact subgroup commuting with it. Then the  $\mathbb{R}^k$  action on  $H/\Gamma$  descends to an action on  $C \backslash H/\Gamma$ . The general algebraic action is a finite factor of such an action. The linear part of algebraic  $\mathbb{R}^k$  action is adjoint representation of this action on  $LA(C) \backslash LA(H)$ .

### $C^1$ closeness

We say that two  $\mathbb{Z}^k$  actions are  $C^1$  close if they are  $C^1$  close on a set of generators. We say that two  $\mathbb{R}^k$  actions are  $C^1$  close if they are  $C^1$  close on a compact set that generate the whole group. We say two foliations are  $C^1$  close if their tangent distributions are  $C^1$  close.

### Main Theorem

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\alpha$  be an algebraic Anosov  $\mathbb{R}^k$  action on a manifold  $M$ , for  $k \geq 2$ , such that the linear part is semisimple. Assume that for any maximal nontrivial intersection  $\cap_{i=1, \dots, r} W_{b_i}^s$  of stable manifolds of elements  $b_1, \dots, b_r \in \mathbb{R}^k$  there exists an element  $a \in \mathbb{R}^k$  such that for a.e  $x \in M$ ,  $\cap_{i=1, \dots, r} E_{b_i}^- \subset E_a^0$  and such that a.e leaf of  $\cap_{i=1, \dots, r} W_{b_i}^s$  is contained in the ergodic component of the one parameter subgroup  $ta$  (with respect to Haar measure). Then the  $C^1$  perturbation is  $C^\infty$  conjugated to the  $\alpha$  by map close to  $Id$ . In fact even  $C^1$ -small perturbation of its orbit foliation is  $C^\infty$  conjugated to the  $\alpha$  by map close to  $Id$ .*

### Smooth conjugation to a linear map

Let 0 be a hyperbolic fixed point for  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ . When it is  $C^\infty$  conjugated to its linear part?

$$f = h^{-1} \circ L \circ h$$

Assume  $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)$ ,  $h = (h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n)$  and  $L$  is a diagonal matrix with  $\lambda_i$  on the diagonal. Write down the Taylor series for  $f_i$  and  $h_i$

$$f_i = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^n} f_{i,k} x^k = \lambda_i x_i + \sum_{|k| > 0} f_{i,k} x^k$$

$$h_i = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^n} h_{i,k} x^k = x_i + \sum_{|k| > 0} h_{i,k} x^k$$

### Formal conjugation

We want formally to solve:

$$h(f(x)) = L(h(x))$$

Left side equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^n} h_{i,k} (f(x))^k = \lambda_i h_i \\ & \lambda_i f_i + \sum_{|k| > 0} h_{i,k} (f_{1,k})^{k_1} \dots (f_{n,k})^{k_n} = \\ & \lambda_i f_i + \sum_{|k| > 1} h_{i,k} (\lambda_1 x_1 + \sum_{|l_1| > 1} f_{1,l_1} x^{l_1})^{k_1} \dots (\lambda_n x_n + \sum_{|l_n| > 1} f_{n,l_n} x^{l_n})^{k_n} \end{aligned}$$

Quadratic term on the left side is:

$$\sum h_{i,k} \lambda^k x^k + \text{quadratic from } f$$

on the right side it is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum h_{i,k} \lambda_i x^k \\ & h_{i,k} (\lambda_i - (\lambda)^k) = \text{known} \\ & h_{i,k} \lambda^k x^k + \text{known terms} = h_{i,k} \lambda_i x^k \end{aligned}$$

The relation  $\lambda_i = \lambda_1^{k_1} \lambda_2^{k_2} \dots \lambda_n^{k_n}$  we call a resonance. Poincare: no resonance implies existence of formal h.

## 4 Normal forms, stationery case

### Formal change

$f$  has a hyperbolic fixed point at 0,  $L = Df(0)$ . We want to find  $h$  in a form of formal power series such that

$$L = h \circ f \circ h^{-1}$$

or more generally

$$N = h^{-1} \circ f \circ h$$

Assume  $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)$ ,  $h = (h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n)$  and  $L$  is a diagonal matrix with  $\lambda_i$  on the diagonal. Where  $f_i$  and  $h_i$  are

$$f_i = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}^n} f_{i,\mathbf{k}} x^{\mathbf{k}} = \lambda_i x_i + \sum_{|\mathbf{k}| > 0} f_{i,\mathbf{k}} x^{\mathbf{k}}$$

$$h_i = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}^n} h_{i,\mathbf{k}} x^{\mathbf{k}} = x_i + \sum_{|\mathbf{k}| > 0} h_{i,\mathbf{k}} x^{\mathbf{k}}$$

### Formal change 2

Rewrite:

$$h \circ f = N \circ h$$

Assume that we found all coefficients of  $h$  for terms with degree  $< l$ . Look what is coefficient next to  $x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} \dots x_n^{k_n}$  with  $\sum k_i = l$ . It is easy to see that it looks like

$$\lambda_1^{k_1} \lambda_2^{k_2} \dots \lambda_n^{k_n} h_{i,\mathbf{k}} + \text{known} =$$

$$\lambda_i h_{i,\mathbf{k}} + n_{i,\mathbf{k}} + \text{known}$$

### Resonances

$\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n)$  We call a pair  $(i, \mathbf{k})$  a resonance relation, if

$$\lambda_i = \lambda_1^{k_1} \lambda_2^{k_2} \dots \lambda_n^{k_n}$$

### Normal form

We say that a formal power series

$$N = (p_1(x), p_2(x), \dots, p_n(x))$$

is a normal form for the map  $f$  if

$$p_i = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} p_{i,\mathbf{k}} x^{\mathbf{k}} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} p_{i,\mathbf{k}} x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} \dots x_n^{k_n}$$

and  $p_{i,\mathbf{k}} \neq 0$ , only when  $(i, \mathbf{k})$  is a resonance. A monom  $m = x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} \dots x_n^{k_n}$  is allowed in normal form for  $i$ -th coordinate if only if

$$m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) = \lambda_i$$

**Example**

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{4} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{8} \end{pmatrix}$$

Normal form

$$N(x, y, z) = \begin{pmatrix} *x \\ *y + *x^2 \\ *z + *xy + *x^3 \end{pmatrix}$$

**Group**

**Theorem 4.1.** Fix  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ . Then, normal forms with invertible linear part form a group.

Proof: It is closed under operation of composition. Let  $p(x)$  and  $s(x)$  are normal forms, then

$$p_i(s(x)) = p_i(s_1(x), s_2(x), \dots, s_n(x)) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} p_{i,\mathbf{k}} s_1^{k_1} s_2^{k_2} \dots s_n^{k_n}$$

**Proof**

We need to check that all monoms for

$$s_1^{k_1} s_2^{k_2} \dots s_n^{k_n} = \left(\sum\right)^{k_1} \left(\sum\right)^{k_2} \dots \left(\sum\right)^{k_n}$$

are allowed in normal form.

Each monom looks like:

$$\underbrace{m_1(x) \cdot m_2(x) \cdot \dots \cdot m_{k_1}(x)}_{\text{monoms from } s_1} \cdot \dots \cdot \underbrace{u_1(x) \cdot u_2(x) \cdot \dots \cdot u_{k_n}(x)}_{\text{monoms from } s_n}$$

If we calculate it at  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$  we get:

$$\lambda_1^{k_1} \lambda_2^{k_2} \dots \lambda_n^{k_n} = \lambda_i$$

**Inverse**

To construct the inverse of normal form  $p$  we find a normal form  $s$  such that  $p \circ s = Id$ . We find  $s$  as a product:

$$s = r \circ t \dots \circ u$$

We take  $r = L^{-1}$  then

$$p \circ r = Id + P_2 + P_{>2}$$

Take  $t = Id - P_2$  then

$$p \circ r \circ t = Id + P'_{>3}$$

### Sternberg-Chen

Let  $f$  be  $C^\infty$  map with a hyperbolic fixed point at 0. Then it could be conjugated to a normal form (with finite number of terms).

## 5 Non-stationery normal forms

### Non-stationery normal forms

Let  $X$  be a compact, connected metric space,  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a homeomorphism (continuous dynamical system),  $V$  be a vector bundle over  $X$ ,  $\pi : V \rightarrow X$  be a projection. We call a function  $\mathcal{F} : V \rightarrow V$  an extension of the function  $f$  if the following equality holds:

$$f \circ \pi = \pi \circ \mathcal{F}$$

We say that an extension  $\mathcal{F}$  is linear (polynomial) if it acts as a linear (polynomial) map on each fiber.

### Contraction

We say that a linear extension  $F$  is a  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -contraction if vectors  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l)$  and  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l)$  satisfy the following conditions:

$$\lambda_1 \leq \mu_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \mu_2 < \dots < \lambda_l \leq \mu_l < 0.$$

and the bundle  $V$  splits into the direct sum of  $F$ -invariant sub-bundles  $V_1, \dots, V_l$ , continuously depending on  $x$  and for any  $\epsilon > 0$  we can find a continuous family of inner products in the fibers of  $V$  such that for any vector  $u \in V_i$

$$\exp(\lambda_i - \epsilon)\|u\| \leq \|Fu\| \leq \exp(\mu_i + \epsilon)\|u\|$$

### Contraction

In the other words, a linear extension  $F$  is a  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -contraction if

- a)  $[\lambda_1, \mu_1], \dots, [\lambda_l, \mu_l]$  are disjoint intervals.
- b)  $\mu_l < 0$
- c) The union of  $[\lambda_i, \mu_i]$  covers the spectrum of the linear extension  $F$ . A  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -contraction  $F$  has a *narrow band spectrum* if

$$\mu_i + \mu_l < \lambda_i$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, l$

### Sub-resonance relation

Let  $k_1, \dots, k_l$  be integer nonnegative numbers. We call  $(i; k_1, \dots, k_l)$  a *sub-resonance relation* if the following inequality is true

$$\lambda_i \leq \sum_j k_j \mu_j$$

We call a monomial  $t^{\mathbf{k}}$  for  $j$ th coordinate function  $P_i$  a *sub-resonance monomial* if  $(i; \mathbf{k})$  is a sub-resonance relation. Let us denote by  $P_{\lambda, \mu}$  the set of polynomial maps of the sub-resonance type with invertible derivative at the origin and by  $G_{\lambda, \mu}$  the group generated by  $P_{\lambda, \mu}$ .

**Lemma**

The group  $G_{\lambda, \mu}$  is finite-dimensional. More specifically, there exists a number  $K = K(\lambda, \mu)$  such that all elements on the group  $G_{\lambda, \mu}$  are polynomial maps of degree at most  $K$ . Proof:  $P_{\lambda, \lambda}$  is always a group. Define  $\lambda'_n = \lambda_n, \lambda'_i = \lambda_i \frac{\lambda_n}{\mu_n} \dots \frac{\lambda_{i+1}}{\mu_{i+1}}$ . If

$$\lambda_i \leq \sum_{i < j} k_j \mu_j$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_i \frac{\lambda_n}{\mu_n} \dots \frac{\lambda_{i+1}}{\mu_{i+1}} &\leq \sum_{i < j} k_j \mu_j \frac{\lambda_n}{\mu_n} \dots \frac{\lambda_j}{\mu_j} = \\ \sum_{i < j} k_j \lambda_j \frac{\lambda_n}{\mu_n} \dots \frac{\lambda_{j+1}}{\mu_{j+1}} &= \sum_{i < j} k_j \lambda'_j \end{aligned}$$

**Normal form theorem**

Suppose extension  $\mathcal{F}$  is a contraction and the linear extension  $D\mathcal{F}_0$  has a narrow band spectrum determined by vectors  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l)$  and  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l)$ . Then there exist a polynomial extension with only subresonance terms smoothly conjugated to  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Formal change of coordinates**

Assume  $\mathcal{F} = (f(x), F(x, t))$ . We look for a change of coordinates in the form  $(x, H_x(t))$ . We want  $P(x, y) = H_{f(x)} \circ F_x(t) \circ H_x^{-1}(t)$  Like before we solve it term by term. We get the following equation for monomial  $C_x(t_1, \dots, t_l)$  of degree  $k$ :

$$\Phi_i(x) C_x(t_1, \dots, t_l) - C_{f(x)}(\Phi_1(x)t_1, \dots, \Phi_l(x)t_l) = R_x(t)$$

It is a cohomological equation. In non subresonance cas it could be solved using “telescoping sum”:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Phi_i^{-1}(x) \dots \Phi_i^{-1}(f^n(x)) R_{f^n(x)}(\Phi(f^{n-1}(x)) \dots \Phi(f(x))(\Phi(x))(t))$$